

Dear FCC,

Firstly, I agree that the Bandwidth issue needs to be resolved, but not by hostile takeover of our HF Frequencies.

Please consider this proceeding VERY Cautiously. Protections I would like to see in place.

Retention of the Automatic Sub bands, do not allow WIDE Modes to operate in these Narrow mode, Automatically Controlled Sub bands.

There are others besides WINLINK that operate in our HF Spectrum we do not want or need them to proliferate all bands and band segments. Make space for the Wide Modes using reasonable accommodation.

There bandwidth is not why WINLINK Failed in Puerto Rico. The difference between Pactor III and Pactor IV would make them no difference.

I Agree our Bands need to be restructured, But not at the expense of any other Mode, or Method. By inserting WINLINK with a wide band ability everywhere in the Digital Spectrum you will directly harm the operations of other Amateurs. I am sure that is not what the ARRL or THE FCC should be doing.

In this venture the ARRL is not representing my best interest.

As far as HOA's section of these proceedings, that affects a lot of Amateurs. You need to protect them the correct way. Not by putting power in the hands of the HOA's.

I urge you to:

- DISMISS EXISTING RM-11708 and FCC WT 16-239.
- Not endorse the Amateur Radio Parity Act now in Congress.

Again, please protect the Narrow Band segments of HF by not allowing WIDE MODES to infiltrate those Narrow Band segments. Place the WIDE bands modes elsewhere where they have the room to exist, and not harm the hobby for others.

Thank you for your time.

73 Jerry N9LYA